

UNITED STATES ___PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/664,238

06/07/96

LUDWIG

L VCOR-001/06U

LM21/0819 COOLEY GODWARD CASTRO HUDDLESON & TATUM FIVE PALO ALTO SQUARE 3000 EL CAMINO REAL PALO ALTO CA 94306

EXAMINER DINH, D ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 2757 حن

DATE MAILED:

08/19/98

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

	OFFICE ACTION SUMM	ARY
Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	CPA 6/1/98	
This action is FINAL.	,	
Since this application is in condition for allo accordance with the practice under Ex parts	wance except for formal matters e Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.6	, prosecution as to the merits is closed in . 3. 213.
shortened statutory period for response to thi hichever is longer, from the mailing date of this ee application to become abandoned. (35 U.S 138(a).	is action is set to expire is communication. Failure to re 3.C. § 133). Extensions of time r	month(s), or thirty days, spond within the period for response will cause may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR
Isposition of Ctalms		
DClaim(s) 2-10, 12-4	47	is/are pending in the application.
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
PClaim(s) 7-10, 12-4	7	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
Claims		are subject to restriction or election requirement.
pplication Papers		·
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's	s Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	i	s/are objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed or	n	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CER		,,,,
received.	•	
received in Application No. (Series Cod	de/Serial Number)	<u> </u>
received in this national stage applicati		· ·
*Certified copies not received:		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e).
Attachment(s)		و ما المعالم ا
☐ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892		
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTC	0-1449, Paper No(s).	the state of the s
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413		and the second of the second o
. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948	

Art Unit: 2756

Part III DETAILED ACTION

This is a repeat of office action paper #12.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-10, 25, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Etherphone system as disclosed by Rangan "Software Architecture for Integration of Video Services in the Etherphone System" and Vin "Multimedia conferencing in the Etherphone Environment", and further in view of the UNIX operating system 4th Berkeley Distribution 1991 as described in the Man Pages for: login, utmp, talk, and who (available on Internet at http://www.de.freebds.org).

As per claim 2, Rangan disclose the Etherphone is a conferencing system comprising:

workstations having audio and video reproduction capabilities [p.1396 fig.1];

Art Unit: 2756

AV path for carrying AV signals [fig.1];

AV conference manager [p.1397 Macaw];

a participant locator [apparent from p.1398 "if a participant moves to new location, Macaw reroutes ... to new location"].

Rangan does not specifically disclose the system is configured to associated a participant with only each workstation at which the participant logs in. Rangan discloses that a connection is establish by a connect command having a participantID parameter [p.1397 col.2 last paragraph]. Rangan does not disclose how the system locates the workstation associated with the participantID.

The Etherphone system's workstations use UNIX. It is well known in the art that UNIX requires a user to login and its keep track of which terminal(s) the user has logged in [see the man page for 'login' and 'utmp']. It is also known that UNIX as a primitive data conference in the form of a 'talk' command that take a user name as a parameter and sends a talk request to only terminals that the user is currently logged in [see the man page for 'talk' and 'who']. Hence, it is apparent that the Etherphone system would have been configured to associate a participant (ID) with each workstation at which the participant logged-in in order to establish the conference connection. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to associate a participant with only each workstation at which the participant logs in because

Art Unit: 2756

it would enable the participant to receive/answer the conference call.

As per claim 25, it is rejected under similar rationale as for claim 2 above.

As per claims 3 and 12-13, Rangan and Vin do not specifically disclose a service directory of the workstation audio video capability. Vin discloses the Etherphone system support conferencing using common capabilities or mixed capabilities by determining audio video capabilities of the workstations [p.72 col.3]. Hence, it would have been obvious or one of ordinary skill in the art to have directory for determining audio, video capabilities of the workstation participating in the conference.

As per claim 4, Rangan disclose switches to establish teleconference between participants [p.1396 fig.1 "Matrix switch"]. The number of switches and participant supported would have been a matter of design choice. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have the appropriate number of switches to support a desired number of participants.

As per claim 5, Rangan does not disclose Wide Area network (WAN) switches. However it is well known in the art to have WAN switches (gateway) for connecting workstations over geographically dispersed locations.

Art Unit: 2756

As per claim 6, it is rejected under similar rationale as for claim 3 above.

As per claim 7, it is apparent that the conference manager would choose reproduce devices based on availability.

As per claims 8-9, Rangan discloses user interface art to provide user selecting capability of reproduction devices [p.1397 col.1 last paragraph].

As per claims 10 and 15, the reference does not specifically disclose format conversion. However it is well known in the art to have converter for different AV signal encoding. It would have been well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art to have converter for converting the AV format.

As per claim 14, Rangan disclose interfacing to external video production device [p.1396 fig.1 Optical disc].

As per claim 16, the Etherphone system has digital data path [Ethernet].

Claims 17, 19-20, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Etherphone system as disclosed by Rangan and Vin above and further in view of Champa US patent 5,315,633.

As per claim 17, Rangan and Vin do not disclose codecs and AV switch for routing signal from first to second location via a third location. Champa teaches a teleconferencing system comprising:

Art Unit: 2756

an AV path [fig.4 # 45] for carrying AV signals, connecting the first workstation [fig.4] to a second work station [another station as in fig.4] via a third location [control hub fig.5, see col.7 lines 34-40];

first, second codecs [fig.4 #41], and third codecs [fig.5 #76] at said first, second and third locations configured to compress AV signal;

an AV switch [fig.5 switch #57] at the third location operable to route compressed AV signals to other locations without said compressed AV signals being decompressed by said third codec [apparent from col.6 and fig.5 - from fig.5, it is clear that the third codec (76) only code/decode signal for the link 75. All other signal would pass through the switch untouched by the codec 76].

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Champa teaching with the EtherPhone system because it would have improved the system by enabling conference over wide area network.

As per claim 19 and 20, the frames rate are inherent characteristic of the system. The particular frame rate would have been a matter of design choice depending upon the quality of video playback required.

As per claim 21, it is rejected under similar rationales as for claim 17 above.

Art Unit: 2756

Claims 18, 22-24, 26-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Etherphone system and Champa above, and further in view of IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin Vol.34, no.7a, Dec. 1991.

As per claim 18, Champa does not specifically disclose a data conference manager using network protocol to control the video conference. IBM disclosure teaches a data conference manager [Conference server] controlling video conference [tuners, Rfmod, Codec] using data network [LAN]. Hence, It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have data manager using network protocol to control AV conference because it would have enable integration of data and AV conferences.

As per claim 22, it is rejected under similar rationales as for claim 18 above.

As per claims 23-24 they are rejected under similar rationales as for claims 19-20 above.

As per claims 26, it is rejected under similar rationales as for claims 1+16+18 above.

As per claim 27, it is rejected under similar rationale as for claim 4 above.

As per claim 28, it is well known in the art to have WAN switches (gateway) for connecting workstations over geographically

Art Unit: 2756

dispersed locations. Champa teaches geographic dispersed switch and AV path over Wide Area Network [fig.5 T1 line 79 and Trans Ocean line 83].

As per claim 29, Rangan teaches Etherphone system having an AV switch [fig.1 Matrix switch] for receiving and routing AV signal;

AV reproduction device with audio/video capabilities. [apparent from fig.1];

a directory of AV reproduction device and its associated capabilities [apparent from p.1397 col.2].

As per claim 30, Vin discloses the Etherphone system having AV conference manager select the AV reproduction device according to capabilities [p.27 col.3].

As per claim 31, Rangan discloses external video producing device [p.1396, fig.1].

As per claim 32, Rangan discloses user interface art to provide user selecting capability of reproduction devices [p.1397 col.1 last paragraph].

As per claim 33, the reference does not specifically disclose supporting different signal format standard. It is well known in the art to have converter for different AV signal encoding. It would have been well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art to have converter for converting the AV format and support plural signal format standard.

Art Unit: 2756

-9-

As per claim 34, the Etherphone system has digital data path [Ethernet];

As per claim 35, Rangan discloses managing the video conference by communication over the data path [apparent from p.1397-1398]. The IBM TDB v.34 teaches controlling the video conference by communication transmitted over the data path [p.337 lines 10-17].

As per claim 36, it is rejected under similar rationale for claim 17 above.

As per claims 37-38, the frames rates are inherent characteristic of the system. The particular frame rate would have been a matter of design choice depending upon the quality of video playback required.

As per claims 39, it is rejected under similar rationales as for claims 1+16+18 above.

As per claim 40, Vin discloses the Etherphone system having AV conference manager select the AV reproduction device according to capabilities [p.27 col.3].

As per claim 41, Rangan discloses the Etherphone system having AV reproduction device [fig.1 Optical disk] and selecting reproduction service to the workstation.

-10-

Serial Number: 08/664,238

Art Unit: 2756

As per claim 42, it is apparent that there is an interface between the AV conference manager and the reproduction device.

As per claim 43, the reference does not specifically disclose supporting different signal format standard. It is well known in the art to have converter for different AV signal encoding. It would have been well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art to have converter for converting the AV format and support plural signal format standard.

As per claim 44, Champa teaches compressing AV signal, receiving AV signal at a third location [switch in fig.5] and routing to the second location without decompressing at the third location.

As per claim 45, Rangan disclose managing the video conference by communication over the data path [apparent from p.1397-1398]. The IBM TDB v.34 teaches controlling the video conference by communication transmitted over the data path [p.337 lines 10-17].

As per claims 46-47, the frames rates are inherent characteristic of the system. The particular frame rate would have been a matter of design choice depending upon the quality of video playback required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

Art Unit: 2756

-11-

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Lee can be reached at (703) 305-9717.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

(703) 308-5359 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Dung Dinh

Patent Examiner August 17, 1998